Thank you for your work.
We have implemented your suggestion (1).
As for the suggestions $(2,3)$ then please bear in mind that this is not a standalone new article but a reflection of the talk given in July 2017. That talk knew nothing about Scott\&Shertzer's paper, which was going to be published one year later, nor was the talk discussing any ideas regarding superconductors. Therefore, implementing your suggestions $(2,3)$ would make the above-mentioned reflection incorrect.

It is a rather unfortunate situation that proceedings of the SAIP Annual Conferences are being published so long after the event, but this is something which is up to organizers to resolve, not authors or referees.

Kind regards
Authors

Report
I have looked at the paper and I believe it is acceptable.
Just a few things:

1) There are some typos. E.g. right in the abstract \" Quantum wave equation\" should be
plural \"Quantum wave equations\" and \"is\" should be \" are\" For the most the paper is ok but
they need a few fixes here and there.
2) Their use of a Gausson in eq. 9 is justified, both computationally and by the recent study of
T.C. Scott and J. Shertzer, Solution of the logarithmic Schrodinger equation with a Coulomb
potential, Journal of Physics Communications (J. Phys. Commun.), vol 2, 7, 075014 (July 24,
2018). -\> They should cite that paper.
3) In their use of a matrix form, the authors are close at being able to generalize this
to a formulation also acceptable for fermions, e.g. the quaternion structure of a Dirac
equation. I am not asking for the authors to change their paper - only
to add a few
comments, in the discussion/conclusion perhaps, about a possible
generalization in that
direction and on that note, some comments about the \"sister-
area\" of superconductors,
if applicable, would be appreciated.
That is all - if these points can be addressed, the paper is acceptable.
