Assessing the impact of rock phosphate storage on uranium and thorium concentration in soil samples from Richards Bay using neutron activation analysis

F B Masok[1], P L Masiteng[1], R D Mavunda[1,2], P M Peane[3] and Hartmut Winkler[4]

[1] University of Johannesburg, Applied Physics and Engineering Mathematics Department, P.O Box 17011, Doornfontein 2028, South Africa
[2] Radiation Protection Training Centre, South African Nuclear Energy Corporation (Necsa), PO Box 582, 0001 South Africa
[3] Department of Subatomic Physics, iThemba LABS, National Research Foundation, P.O.Box 722, 7129 Somerset West, South Africa
[4] Department of Physics University of Johannesburg, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa

E-mail: masokfelix@gmail.com

Abstract. Determination of radionuclides in soil samples is important to estimate the radiation level to which persons are directly or indirectly exposed especially from health physics point of view. In this study, the concentration of parent radionuclides ^{238}U, ^{232}Th and ^{40}K were measured in soil samples around a rock phosphate storage facility at Richards Bay. Ninety soil samples were collected from 30 sampling positions. Gross alpha and beta activity concentration measurements was first performed using a gas flow proportionality counter to estimate the total activity of each sample without regards to specific radionuclides. The samples were further analyzed for ^{238}U and ^{232}Th concentrations using neutron activation analysis (NAA) by irradiating with thermal neutrons flux of about 1×10^{14} n cm^{-2}.s^{-1} in a nuclear research reactor (SAFARI 1) at NECSA. The total mean values (mean±S.D) of elemental concentrations for ^{238}U, ^{232}Th and ^{40}K in all the samples studied were 2.29 ± 0.95 ppm, 7.77 ± 3.98 ppm and 0.44 ± 0.32 % for uranium,thorium and potassium respectively. The elemental concentration of ^{238}U, ^{232}Th and ^{40}K are lower than the corresponding values reported worldwide by a factor of 0.15, 0.30 and 0.68 respectively.

1. Introduction

Despite their positive values and uses for the production of fertilizer, animal feeds supplements and industrial chemicals [1], research [2, 3, 4, 5] has shown that phosphate rocks contain a substantial concentration of uranium, thorium and their decay products. When phosphate rocks are applied to soil, they elevate the radioactivity levels in the soils [2, 6, 7]. The radionuclides in soil can be incorporated into human bodies through inhalation of contaminated soil dust by workers, other site users or a member of the general public. Leaching of these radioactive nuclides is another source of dissemination and possible transfer to waters and finally to human beings and animals [4]. Gamma radiation from natural radionuclides and cosmic rays constitute external exposure while those derived from inhalation and ingestion through foods and drinking water constitute internal exposure to humans [8]. About 80% of radiological dose contributed

into the environment are derived from the natural radionuclides while the remaining 20% are from cosmic rays and nuclear processes [9]. The natural radionuclides of concern in terrestrial environment are mainly Uranium-238, Thorium-232, Potassium-40 and the radioactive radon gas produced as a result of decay of the aforementioned parent nuclides [9].

Richards Bay is an industrial area located on latitude 28^0 48' S and longitude 32^0 02' E in KwaZulu Natal Province, South Africa. The area is well known for its habor facility and industrial activities. Rock Phosphate mined in Phalaborwa in Limpopo are transported by rail about 750 km to Richards Bay where it is stored prior to export or used by Foskor Richards Bay as raw material. Environmental problems associated with naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORMs) in solid mineral mining and processing occurs during drilling, processing, transportation and storage [10]. These usually lead to the spread of NORMs contaminating the environment and subsequently results in potential radiation exposure of workers and members of the general public. Although research has reported a low concentration of radionuclide in rock phosphate stored in this study area [11], and a good water quality of the area [12], no available literature is published about the distribution of radionuclides in soil. This study is aimed at surveying the concentration of naturally occurring radioactive materials (norms) in soil samples around the rock phosphates storage facility at Richards Bay with an intention to map out hot spots in terms of radioactivity concentration level.

Figure 1. Map of study area showing sampling positions

2. Material and methods

2.1. Sampling and sample preparations

Ninety soil samples were collected from 30 sampling positions (Figure 1) divided into coal area (Area 1, four sampling positions), rock phosphate storage area (Area 2, 17 sampling position) and control area (Area 3, nine sampling position). It is worth to mention that more samples were collected from Area 2 because it is the area of interest for this study. Collected samples were dried in an oven at a temperature of 105 °C for 24 hrs and then milled to powder. About 1.00 g of each powder soil sample was sealed in polythene ampoules and further encapsulated in polythene foil to avoid superficial contamination during irradiation and handling. Prepared samples were irradiated with thermal neutrons at NECSA (SAFARI 1) producing a neutron flux of about 1×10^{14} n.cm^{-2}.s^{-1} at the core of the reactor. During neutron activation in a reactor,^{238}U and ^{232}Th undergoes the following nuclear process.

$$^{238}U(n,\gamma) \longrightarrow ^{239}U \longrightarrow ^{239}Np \tag{1}$$

$$^{232}Th(n,\gamma) \longrightarrow ^{233}U \longrightarrow ^{232}Pa \tag{2}$$

The gamma rays emitted were measured using a closed end coaxial high-purity germanium (HPGe) detector with a relative efficiency of 25.1%, peak to Compton ratio of 40.2:1 and a resolution of 2.3 keV at 1332 keV of ^{60}Co. The detector was calibrated for energy and efficiency using ^{152}Eu with an activity of (18.6 ± 0.5) kBq at reference date of 2006 February 09 by 12.00 GMT. The activity concentration of ^{40}K was determined directly from the gamma energy line of 1460.8 keV without sample irradiation. Gamma energy peaks of 277.6 keV and 312.2 keV from the decay of ^{239}Np and ^{233}Pa were used for the identifications of ^{238}U and ^{232}Th respectively. Elemental content was determined relative to certified reference materials which were irradiated and counted under the same conditions as the samples. Reference materials were supplied by the South African National Bureau of Standards. The experiment was carried out in the Radio-analysis laboratory at the South African Nuclear Energy Corporation (NECSA), Pretoria, South Africa. The background was determined by treating an empty capsules as the sample. The uncertainty value reported is calculated mainly from counting statistics and it is not the standard deviation obtained from replicate measurements.

2.2. Activity calculations and determination of elemental concentration

Following the spectrum analysis, specific activity of ^{233}U and ^{232}Th were determined in units of Bq.kg^{-1} and then converted into total elemental concentrations (F_E) in units of part per million (ppm) of ^{233}U, ^{232}Th and % of ^{40}K using equation (3) [13].

$$F_E = \frac{M_E C}{\lambda_E N_A f_{A,E}} \times A_E \tag{3}$$

Where, M_E,λ_E,$f_(A,E)$ and A_E are the atomic mass (kg.mol^{-1}), the decay constant (s^{-1}), the fractional atomic abundance in nature and the measured specific activity concentration (Bq.kg^{-1}) respectively of the corresponding element E. N_A is the Avogadros number 6.023 × 10^{23} atoms mol^{-1}, C is a constant with values of 10^6 for ^{233}U and ^{232}Th as well as 10^3 for ^{40}K that converts the ratio of the elements to soil mass into part per million of ^{233}U, ^{232}Th or a percentage of ^{40}K. Using equation (3) yield the same results as the conversion factors of
1ppm = 12.25 Bq.kg^{-1} of ^{233}U
1ppm Th = 4.06 Bq.kg^{-1} of ^{232}Th and
1 % K = 131 Bq.kg^{-1} of ^{40}K given in IAEA technical document 390 as utilized by [14].

3. Results and discussion.

3.1. Gross alpha and gross beta activities

The gross alpha and beta activity is a first order estimate performed to obtain total activity concentration of radionuclides in a sample without considering specific nuclides. Figure 2 show the total activity concentration of each sampling position.

3.2. Elemental concentrations in soil samples

The elemental concentrations of uranium, thorium and potassium obtained from the three areas where soil samples were collected are summarized in Table 1. The measured arithmetic mean and stand deviation of elemental concentrations for ^{233}U, ^{232}Th and ^{40}K in all the samples studied are 2.29 ± 0.95 ppm, 7.77 ± 3.98 ppm and 0.44 ± 0.32 % respectively, while the revised median values obtained worldwide are 2.67 ppm, 11.08 ppm and 1.34 % respectively. The above-mentioned worldwide mean values were derived by transforming the corresponding worldwide average concentrations of 33, 45 and 420 Bq.kg^{-1} for ^{233}U, ^{232}Th and ^{40}K radionuclides [9] into ^{233}U, ^{232}Th and ^{40}K elemental concentrations respectively using Equation (3). This study reveals that the total mean concentration of ^{233}U, ^{232}Th and ^{40}K measured in soil samples from Richards Bay (this study area) are 85%, 70 % and 32 % of corresponding values reported worldwide. Hence



Figure 2. Gross alpha and gross beta activity concentrations of each sampling position from where soil samples were collected.

Table 1. Elemental concentrations of ^{233}U, ^{232}Th and ^{40}K in soil samples studied.

Sampling Area	Number of samples	Parameters	Elemental concentrations (ppm) ^{233}U (ppm)	^{232}Th (ppm)	^{40}K (%)
Area 1	12	Min	2.48 ± 0.12	8.86 ± 0.34	0.36 ± 0.14
		Max	4.19 ± 0.17	18.58 ± 0.38	0.60 ± 0.15
		Ave ± S.D	3.39 ± 0.8	14.47 ± 4.58	0.47 ± 0.13
Area 2	51	Min	1.04 ± 0.07	1.44 ± 0.22	0.01 ± 0.001
		Max	3.76 ± 0.15	13.09 ± 0.33	0.88 ± 0.21
		Ave ± S.D	2.29 ± 0.92	7.30 ± 2.82	0.47 ± 0.20
Area 3	27	Min	0.84 ± 0.05	2.25 ± 0.09	MDA
		Max	2.81 ± 0.18	8.45 ± 0.25	0.99 ± 0.22
		Ave ± S.D	1.81 ± 0.69	5.69 ± 2.41	0.38 ± 0.32
Total	90	Min	0.84 ± 0.05	1.44 ± 0.22	MDA
		Max	4.19 ± 0.17	18.58 ± 0.38	0.99 ± 0.22
		Ave ± S.D	2.29 ± 0.95	7.77 ± 3.98	0.44 ± 0.32
World average			2.67	11.08	1.34

lower by a factor of 0.15, 0.30 and 0.68 compare to the corresponding worldwide average values for soil samples from non-mining areas.

From Table 1, area 1 exhibited the highest concentrations in uranium and thorium with an arithmetic mean value (mean ± S.D) of 3.39 ± 0.8 ppm and 14.47 ± 4.58 ppm respectively. As can be seen from Figure 3, columns 2 and 3, the highest concentration of ^{233}U, ^{232}Th and ^{40}K were measured in soil samples collected from area 1 and 2. This can be attributed to industrial activities and mined minerals handled within these area. Although comparable and within the range of some areas globally (see Table 2), the total mean elemental concentrations of ^{233}U, ^{232}Th and ^{40}K observed in soil from the rock phosphate storage area (Table 1, Area 2) are still below the world mean elemental concentrations values of the same radionuclide reported in the UNCSCEAR 2000 report [9] for soil from non-mining areas. Furthermore, from Table 2 it is

Table 2. Concentrations of ^{233}U, ^{232}Th and ^{40}K in soil samples from different countries [13]

Countries	^{233}U (ppm)	^{232}Th (ppm)	^{40}K (%)
Spain	1.1 - 13.8	1.7 - 50.3	0.2 - 5.2
Rajasthan, India	2.4 - 6.3	10.6 - 26.1	0.2 - 0.5
Italy	4.6 - 5.7	18.0 - 21.0	1.9 - 2.5
Russaifa, Jordan	3.9 - 42.4	2.1 - 6.7	0.1 - 1.0
Alps-Apennines, Italy	0.3 - 5.6	0.3 - 16.7	0.1 - 5.1
Cyprus	0.0004 - 3.2	0.003 - 9.8	0.0001 - 1.9
South Africa (Present study)	0.8 - 4.2	1.4 - 18.6	0.0 - 0.44

clear that the corresponding elemental concentration values of ^{233}U, ^{232}Th and ^{40}K obtained from this study fall within the lower range of most reported values from other areas globally. Since natural radioactivity is directly related to the content of radioactive elements in the soil, Richards Bay can be considered as one of the world areas that exhibit low level of natural radioactivity.



Figure 3. Mean values of ^{233}U, ^{232}Th, ^{40}K



Figure 4. Correlation of ^{233}U and ^{232}Th

3.3. Correlation between ^{233}U and ^{232}Th

The relationship between uranium and thorium can be considered in terms of thorium and uranium ratio [13]. Figure 4 show the correlation of Th/U with a fitting relation of a linear type yielding a correlation coefficient of 0.88. The theoretical expected ratio of Th/U is approximately 3.00 for normal continental crust [13]. Corresponding values obtained for this ratio in this study varies from 1.38 to 4.53 with an arithmetic mean and standard deviation of 3.30 $\pm$ 0.73 which is consistent with the continental crust. The high values of Th/ U ratio in some samples is an indication that the soil samples analyzed are thorium enriched or uranium depleted due to natural processes [9, 14] such as weathering and leaching in this area. However, thorium enrichment indicate the present of significant amount of monazites and Zircon [15], and This is evident in the quantity of zircon mined by Richards Bay minerals company (RBM) in Richards Bay [16]. Hence, it observed that Richards Bay is rich in Thorium concentration.

4. Conclusion

The concentration of ^{238}U and ^{232}Th in 90 soil samples collected around a rock phosphate storage facility were analyzed by means of neutron activation analysis and found to be of continental crust level. There was no hot spot identified with regards to radioactivity concentration level

within this study area, however the concentrations of ^{238}U and ^{232}Th was found to be slightly enhance by anthropogenic activities within this area. The elemental concentration of ^{238}U, ^{232}Th and ^{40}K were found to be lower than their corresponding values reported worldwide for soil samples from non-mining areas by a factor of 0.15, 0.30 and 0.68 respectively. Therefore, the influence of rock phosphate storage in Richards Bay on the concentration of ^{238}U and ^{232}Th in soil of this area is at present negligible. Since radioactivity level depend directly on the content of radionuclide within a given sample, Richards Bay in KwaZulu Natal, South Africa can be considered as one of the areas around the world that exhibit low level of radioactivity in soil. Furthermore, a strong correlation 0.88 was found between the concentrations of thorium and uranium, suggesting thorium enrichment in soil of this area.

Acknowledgments
Acknowledgments Authors wish to acknowledge management of port of Richards Bay for granting permission for this studies to be conducted on their precinct. We also appreciate the assistance offered by laboratory technicians of radioanalysis laboratory at NECSA during sample analysis.

References

[1] Tufail M, Akhtar N and Waqas M 2006 Radioactive rock phosphate: the feed stock of phosphate fertilizers used in Pakistan. *Health physics.* IV; **90** 361-70
[2] Wassila B and Ahmed B 2011 The radioactivity measurements in soils and fertilizers using gamma spectrometry technique. *J. Environ. Radioact.* **102** 336-39
[3] Banzi F P, Kifanga L D and Bundala F M 2000 Natural radioactivity and radiation exposure at the Minjingu phosphate mine in Tanzania *J. Radiol Prot.* **20** 41-51
[4] Azouazi M, Ouahidi Y, Fakhi S, Andres Y, Abbe J C and Benmansour M 2001 Natural radioactivity in phosphates, phosphogypsum and natural waters in Morocco. *J. Environ. Radioact.* II; **54** 231-42
[5] Makweba M M and Holm E 1993 The natural radioactivity of the rock phosphates, phosphatic products and their environmental implications. *Sci. Total Environ.* I; **133** 99 -110
[6] Tufail M Akhtar N and Waqas M 2006 Measurement of terrestrial radiation for assessment of gamma dose from cultivated and barren saline soils of Faisalabad in Pakistan.*Radiat. Meas.* IV; **41** 443-51
[7] Mlwilo N A Mohammed N K and Spyrou N M 2007 Radioactivity levels of staple foodstuffs and dose estimates for most of the Tanzanian population. *J. Radiol Prot* IV; **27** 471
[8] FAO I ILO N and PAHO W 1996 International basic safety standards for protection against ionizing radiation and for the safety of radiation sources (IBSS). *IAEA Safety series* 115
[9] UNSCEAR 2000 *Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation: United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation. UNSCEAR 2000 Report to the General Assembly, with Scientific Annexes, Vol. I* (United Nations, New York) pp 115 - 16
[10] Innocent A J, Onimisi M Y and Jonah S A 2013 Evaluation of naturally occurring radionuclide materials in soil samples collected from some mining sites in Zamfara State, Nigeria. *Br. J. Appl. Sci. Technol.* IV; **3** 684-92
[11] Masok F B, Masiteng P L, Mavunda R D and Maleka P P 2016 Health effects due to radionuclides content of solid minerals within Port of Richards Bay, South Africa. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* XII; **13** 1180
[12] Masok F B, Masiteng P L, Mavunda R D and Maleka P P 2016 Chemical contamination and radiological risk assessment of water sources in Richards Bay. *Journal of Physical Science and Application* V; **6** 8-13
[13] Tzortzis M and Tsertos H 2004 Determination of thorium, uranium and potassium elemental concentrations in surface soils in Cyprus. *J. Environ. Radioact.* III; **77** 325-38
[14] Al-Hamarneh I F and Awadallah M I 2009 Soil radioactivity levels and radiation hazard assessment in the highlands of northern Jordan. *Radiat. Meas.* **44** 102-10
[15] Mohanty A K, Sengupta D, Das S K, Vijayan V and Saha S K 2004 Natural radioactivity in the newly discovered high background radiation area on the eastern coast of Orissa, India. *Radiat. Meas.* II **38** 153-65
[16] Williams G E and Steenkamp J D 2006 Heavy mineral processing at Richards bay minerals *Southern African Pyrometallurgy* 181-88