

Responses to comments on SAIP 2016 conference proceedings.
Lucas McConnell

First reviewer:

Sec 3 line 10
expected to more --> expected to be more

Corrected as suggested.

Second reviewer:

Section 3: This is however not the case for same-sign scattering and thus it is expected to more sensitive to the quartic coupling.
-> Both should be equally sensitive, no? Its just easier to measure in this channel due to less bg.

Corrected to “This is however not the case for same-sign scattering, making it the preferable channel for analysis”

Change conclusions to results. Remove the verbal reference to the poster.

Corrected as suggested.

Change conclusions to results. Remove the verbal reference to the poster.

Corrected as suggested.