CHPC WLCG Tier2 Facility

1

Sean Murray ALICE CHPC CSIR July 7, 2016



WLCG

SAGrid, user analysis

Tier1

Backup Slides

What is the WLCG



WLCG Map of Sites



WLCG MOU Signed







28 April 2015

According to Tender :

- ALICE 600 cores
- ATLAS 600 cores
- ALICE 400TB
- ATLAS 400TB

According to :

https://wlcg-rebus.cern.ch/apps/pledges/resources/

- 6000 HEPSPEC06 cores (560 of our cores)
- 100TB storage
- All ALICE.

Computing Infrastructure



- 50 nodes of 48 cores 192GB RAM and 1.6TB of SSD, 1G ethernet
- 34 nodes of 48 cores 96GB RAM and 1TB, FDR infiniband, 6 "stolen"
- 100TB of Lustre on the 34 nodes with FDR infiniband.
- 9 management servers, lower spec
 - compute element (head node,ce),
 - storage element 2 redirectors, 2 storage nodes with direct attached multipath storage
 - authentication, user interface (gone), monitoring, provisioning.

- 383TB EOS for ALICE, down from 440TB
- 252 TB EOS for ATLAS, down from 400TB
- 107 TB lustre for 34 nodes.
- 104 TB EMC for ATLAS, not going to be used.

Reduction in data sizes is due to reorganisation for reliability.

- 465k ALICE jobs in last year
- Avg concurrent jobs 704.
- ALICE 358TB storage properly live 24 June, Consumed 6.4TB
- Data traffic consumed 70TB in and 60TB out in the last 3 months
- 11MB/s in and 7.5MB/s

So who monitors us :

- WLCG
- EGI
- ALICE
- ATLAS
- me via zabbix/grafana and AAROC

There are a lot of eyes, ignoring the ones in this room.

Function	May	April	March
Availability	90	97	91
Reliability	100	90	90

Problems in monitoring infrastructure in June, pending recalculation.

Grafana ALICE



This is currently being expanded to pull in more from MonaLisa, and more experiment and storage specific metrics to help to trivialy diagnose and forewarn problems. It has not been an easy 4 months, the big ones are :

- 17 Mar Switch dies, kills everything, 4 days
- 14 Apr disk dies, 15/16 April rebuild fails, 109TB of empty space lost.
- 21May Site Power upgrade whole weekend.
- 22 Jun General Power failure, storage out for 2 days, SAM tests in unknown for 1 week.

Our Data's Scenic Tour



Data Traffic Mid June



c) IRIS Network Systems (PTY) ltd

Since my epoch ...

- Fixed ALICE error rates, mostly.
- ALICE concurrent jobs from 700 to 1500.
- max out bandwidth now regularly.
- ATLAS running pilot jobs.
- Storage cleaned up.
- New storage quoting
- Plan in place to overhaul, and being tested.

upgrades

- attempts to delay till CC7 validation have failed.
- Puppify, to auto site deployment, r10k an issue.
- Transition to foreman from xcat.
- Fix ATLAS Storage (reinstall)
- upgrade monitoring server to zabbix3 and new grafana to technical reasons.
- Rewire whole network, re-power to monitored pdu, and monitor all.
- Add inherent redundancy into 10G interfaces on ce,se,se2.
- Reinstall while TRYING to keep A/R. problems are vobox and ce.
- Storage, we need an additional 750TB for ALICE and ATLAS.

Computing Infrastructure



Historically this has not been great, so ...

- Federated logins to zabbix and grafana, i.e. You
- All code on github in line with AAROC
- All issues PUBLIC on github
- Still have GGUS for normal tickets.
- Some training on the user analysis facility (hopefully online)
- A couple of things remain private like network diagrams, obviously, and passwords.
- AAROC slac channels.



WLCG

SAGrid, user analysis

Tier1

Backup Slides

I got the go ahead 3 weeks ago to claim 28 of the 34 nodes back for SAGrid and HEP user analysis.

- Go back to SAGrid to support anybody on SAGrid VO.
- hep user analysis, based on federated identities, no user account admin.
- code based on CODE-RADE, or LHC experiments.
- Local Storage for users, eos and lustre.

- A collaboration spanning Africa and Arabia.
- Everything is on github under AAROC.
- Share the resources of a massively disparate collection of computing resources transparently to the user.
- Idea of a automated site, via sound deployment tools, and constant integration.

WLCG

SAGrid, user analysis

Tier1

Backup Slides

Its a long list, I wont bore you or me with the intricate details of the MOU.

First and foremost :

Its a long list, I wont bore you or me with the intricate details of the MOU.

First and foremost :

A STABLE, RELIABLE PROVEN Tier2

The criticality of that can not be under estimated.

- Custodial storage of raw data.
- O(10k) cores
- single digit PB disk
- single digit PB online Tape library, custodial raw data.
- redundant links on LHCOPN (light paths to cern) 10Gbps.

This is the easy part its just a question of money. The human and process requirements are more onerous.

- 99% uptime when beam on.
- 4 hour response to failures or degraded service (20%).
- A long term commitment to be a tier1.
- 12 h max delay to responding to operational problems.
- 12 h for network degradation (20%)

- 24x7 operation.
- storage specific experts
- experiment specific experts
- tiered support.
- operators on call.
- wlcg membership, meetings, meetings, meetings.

WLCG

SAGrid, user analysis

Tier1

Backup Slides





IOU table

three or more other institutes providing amongst them 52-week coverage).

The following parameters define the minimum levels of service. They will be reviewed by the operational boards of the WLCG Collaboration.

Service	Maximur	n delay in respondin problems	Average availability ⁶ measured on an annual basis		
	Service interruption	Degradation of the capacity of the service by more than 50%	Degradation of the capacity of the service by more than 20%	During accelerator operation	At all other times
Raw data recording	4 hours	6 hours	6 hours	99%	n/a
Event reconstruction or distribution of data to Tier-1 Centres during	6 hours	6 hours	12 hours	99%	n/ a

accelerator operation					
Networking service to Tier-1 Centres during accelerator operation	6 hours	6 hours	12 hours	99%	n/a
All other Tier-0 services	12 hours	24 hours	48 hours	98%	98%
All other services ⁷ - prime service hours ⁸	1 hour	1 hour	4 hours	98%	98%
All other services ⁷ - outwith prime service hours ⁸	12 hours	24 hours	48 hours	97%	97%

Annex 3.2. Tier-1 Services

Each Tier1 Centre⁹ forms an integral part of the central data handling service of the LHC Experiments. It is thus essential that each such centre undertakes to provide its services on a long-term basis (initially at least 5 years) and to make its best efforts to upgrade its installations steadily in order to keep pace with the expected growth of

mou table

Service	Maximum delay in responding to operational problems			Average availability ⁶ measured on an annual basis	
	Service interruption	Degradation of the capacity of the service by more than 50%	Degradation of the capacity of the service by more than 20%	During accelerator operation	At all other times
Acceptance of data from the Tier-0 Centre during accelerator operation	12 hours	12 hours	24 hours	99%	n/a
Networking service to the Tier-0 Centre during accelerator operation	12 hours	24 hours	48 hours	98%	n/a
Data-intensive analysis services, including networking to Tier-0, Tier-1 Centres outwith accelerator operation	24 hours	48 hours	48 hours	n/a	98%
All other services ⁷ - prime service hours ¹⁰	2 hour	2 hour	4 hours	98%	98%
All other services ⁷ - outwith prime service hours ¹⁰	24 hours	48 hours	48 hours	97%	97%

reviewed by the operational boards of the WLCG Collaboration.

The response times in the above table refer only to the maximum delay before action