

Accurate spacecraft positioning by VLBI imaging

Weimin Zheng, Fengxian Tong

Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, CAS

9th IVS General Meeting March 17, 2016, Johannesburg

Outline



- 1. Background
- 2. VLBI imaging principle
- 3. Spacecraft phase-reference VLBI positioning experiments
- 4. Next plan
- 5. Summary

1. Background







CVN supported CE lunar missions





CE-5 landers need more accurate position





- CE-3 lander moon surface absolute position accuracy <100m. (VLBI group delay + range)</p>
- CE-5/Mars probe require more accurate position. (1mas~2m, Moon; 1mas~2km, Mars)
- Phase reference VLBI imaging can reach <0.1mas-level accuracy in astronomy observations.
- mas-level spacecraft positioning by phase reference VLBI imaging is attractive.

2. VLBI Imaging principle



$$V(u,v) = \iint I(l,m) \cdot e^{-2\pi i(ul+vm)} dx dy$$

$$\Rightarrow I(l,m) = \iint V(u,v) \cdot e^{2\pi i(ul+vm)} du dv$$



Phase-reference VLBI Imaging



- By phase reference VLBI method, target phase is calibrated by a reference source (calibrator).
- Nearby reference calibrator and fast switching.
- The calibrated target phase only contains phase caused by position offset.
- The center of the phase-reference image is the relative position of the probe to the calibrator with high accuracy.



- Spacecraft: switching cycle time <4min, and separation angle <5° for X-band.
- The accuracy of far/near field VLBI time delay value will affect the phase-reference VLBI results.
- CVN, hundreds of ps time delay error will cause tens of mas positioning error.
- Accurate far/near field time delay models of IERS(2010) and Sekido (2006).
- UVW is defined as W-axis point from the Geocenter to the observed target, U-axis point to the East, Vaxis point to the North pole.

3. Spacecraft phase-reference VLBI experiments



 $1AU = 1.49597870699626200 \times 10^8 km$

CE-2 vs. 0952+179





Distance from earth: $\sim 5 \times 10^7 \, km$

~ 5×10° km Separation angle: <7° @ S-band

Station: SH、KM、UR

- ~4h observation data
- Results is consistent with CE-2 orbit determination (~10km)
- Correlator: SCORR
 -- CVN software correlator

CE-3 In-beam observation of Lander and Rover







Received signal of lander and Rover

The accuracy of the relative position between Lander and Rover is ~1m (0.5mas).

CE-5T1 vs. 1920-211





Distance from earth:
 ~3.8×10⁵km

Separation angle:<1.3° @X-band

- Station: SH, BJ, KM, UR
- ~2h observation data
- Results is consistent with CE-5T1 orbit determination (~100m)
- Correlator: SCORR

MEX and 2155-152



CVN and JIVE phase-reference results of MEX





- Distance: ~1.9895AU
- Separation angle: <2.5° @ X-band
- SH, BJ, KM, UR, BD joined
- ~1.5h VLBI observation data
- Both CVN and JIVE results keep consistence with MEX precision orbit ~1mas
- Correlator: SCORR & SFXC

Rosetta vs. OJ287





Distance: ~1.7889AU

- ✓ SH, KM, TM;SV, ZC, BD;
 WW, WA; HT, HH; KE, YG,
 HO; WZ, WN
- ✓ Separation angle: <1.3° @
 X-band
- \checkmark Total observation time is
 - ~10h, left figure just use
 - ~30min data
- ✓ The result is almost the same compared with Rosetta orbit accuracy
- ✓ Correlator: SCORR



Clean image J0856+2111 vs. OJ287 in Rosetta session



Discrepancy of SCORR and DiFX results ~0.1mas SCORR far-field delay accuracy is the same level of DIFX

4. Next plan



- Chinese lunar probe lander CE-3 imaging experiment.
- IVS session?
- Lunar lander absolute position hopes to reach meter level or better.
- Long time observation perhaps can improve Lunar ephemeris?

5. Summary



- CVN spacecraft phase reference VLBI imaging can obtain mas-level positioning results.
- Phase-reference VLBI doesn't need special designed radio beacon.
- Observation session is not very long.
- Phase reference VLBI imaging applies in lunar/Martian surface lander high accuracy positioning in the future.

Acknowledgment



- JIVE result comparison
- HT, HH; SV, ZC, BD; KE, YG, HO; WZ, WN; WW, WA – observations
- Dr. Sergei Pogrebenko



Thanks for your attention!