Anomalous lattice expansion of Y³⁺ and Sc³⁺ co-doped δ-Bi₂O₃ solid electrolytes

Darren Fynn^{1,2}, Caren Billing¹, Dave Billing^{1,2}

¹ Molecular Science Institute, School of Chemistry, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag X3, Johannesburg, 2050, South Africa ²DSI-NRF Centre of Excellence in Strong Materials, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag X3, Johannesburg, 2050, South Africa caren.billing@wits.ac.za

1. Introduction

The most commonly used electrolyte in solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) is yttria stabilized zirconia (YSZ), but it requires a high operating temperature of > 1000 °C which increases the cost of the components of the SOFCs.^[1,2] As a result much research has been undertaken to develop solid oxide electrolytes that are fast ion conductors at lower temperatures. Of interest in this work are δ -Bi₂O₃-based electrolytes as these materials have the highest ionic conductivity amongst the most commonly used solid electrolytes.^[3] The δ -phase of Bi₂O₃ is only stable in the narrow temperature range between 730 °C and 825 °C, above which it melts and below which it transforms to other polymorphs (the identity of which depends on the cooling rate). ^[4] However, it has been shown that by doping or co-doping Bi_2O_3 with a range of trivalent cations the δ -phase can be stabilized to room temperature, but doping also lowers the oxide ion conductivity relative to pure δ -Bi₂O₃, due to contraction of the host lattice. ^[4,5] When codoping, generally if the proportion of the larger dopant cation is increased (at the expense of the smaller dopant cation), there is a slight expansion of the lattice, resulting in an improved oxide ion conductivity. Unfortunately this also results in the material being less stable.^[4] Almost all co-doped Bi₂O₃ materials involve the use of at least one lanthanide metal cation. In this work we studied the Y³⁺ and Sc³⁺ co-doped system and found that as the proportion of Sc^{3+} (the smaller dopant cation) is increased at the expense of Y^{3+} (the larger dopant cation) a slight (anomalous) lattice expansion is triggered. This confirmed results found by Wang et al. [6] They proposed that the lattice expansion was due to the reduction of Sc^{3+} to Sc^{2+} , but this is highly unlikely since Sc^{2+} is not stable (this oxidation state has not been identified before) and the synthetic route does not involve reducing conditions. As such this work embarks on a more comprehensive structural characterization of the Bi₂O₃-Y₂O₃-Sc₂O₃ system at various compositions using Rietveld refinement on powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data. Both in-situ (temperature dependent) and *ex-situ* laboratory data – as well as *ex-situ* synchrotron data – were analysed. This was also related to data obtained for simultaneous differential thermal and thermogravimetric analyses (STA).

2. Results

Bi₂O₃ was co-doped with Y³⁺ and Sc³⁺ at a fixed total dopant concentration of 20 mol%. The concentration of Sc³⁺ was increased at the expense of Y³⁺. Rietveld refinement of both *ex-situ* laboratory and synchrotron PXRD data showed that co-doping Bi₂O₃ with Y³⁺ and Sc³⁺ does stabilize the δ -phase. Also apparent was that both the lattice parameter and the magnitude of microstrain increased with Sc³⁺ dopant concentration (see Figures 1 and 2), which may suggest that the lattice expansion could be related to the increased microstrain within the materials. Refinement of the *in-situ* PXRD data revealed that the δ -phase structure remained stable throughout heating and cooling between 30°C and 780 °C. Analysis of aged materials (at ambient conditions for 7 months) revealed the growth of a bismuth carbonate impurity to a small extent, suggesting that the materials react with CO₂ in air. This impurity is not persistent as STA showed that CO₂ can be driven off by heating to ~ 450 °C. Additionally, STA indicated a reversible order-disorder transition of the oxide ion sublattice.





Fig. 1: Variation of the cubic lattice parameter with Sc³⁺ dopant concentration

Fig. 2: Variation of microstrain with Sc³⁺ dopant concentration

3. References

- [1]
- P. R. Chandran, T. V Arjunan, *Int. J. ChemTech Res. CODEN* 2014, 7, 488.
 D. J. L. Brett, A. Atkinson, N. P. Brandon, S. J. Skinner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2008, 37, 1568.
 K. C. Wincewicz, J. S. Cooper, *J. Power Sources* 2005, 140, 280. [2] [3]
- R. C. WINCEWICZ, J. S. Coopel, J. 10001 Sources 2005, 140, 280.
 P. Shuk, H.-D. Wiemhöfer, U. Guth, W. Göpel, M. Greenblatt, Solid State Ionics 1996, 89, 179.
 M. J. Verkerk, A. J. Burggraaf, J. Electrochem. Soc. 1981, 128, 75.
 S. F. Wang, Y. F. Hsu, W. C. Tsai, H. C. Lu, J. Power Sources 2012, 218, 106. [4]
- [5] [6]