SAIP 2019 Rebuttal

Nthabiseng Lekalakala

June 2020

1 Reviewer Comments

1. Abstract comment - If not efficient why use it and report on it? Why is it not efficient? why state it is mostl) (not completely!) understood by experts? Reward for clarity.

   - Response - In Abstract - The tests are currently being improved to make it easier for inexperienced operators to use the tests.

2. Figure 1 - not referenced in text.

   - Figure 1 referenced in Introduction.

3. Section 2 - second paragraph -grammar

   - Grammar fixed

4. Section 2.2 - Grammar

   - Grammar fixed

5. Figure 3 - Figure after text reference.

   - Figure before text reference

6. Section 2.2 - The tests are not referenced in the abstract or introduction.

   - The tests are introduced in the introduction.

7. What has been done by the author?

   - The work of the author has been specified in section 2.2.

8. Comment on references: No research reference - the other comment I couldn't see clearly.

   - Not many papers were written on the work I presented as the tests are still being implemented.

9. Consistency when writing dvs.

   - The whole paper has dvs written as "DVS".

2 Revisions

1. The following was modified in Abstract:

   - Grammar was fixed.
   - Few misunderstandings regarding the purpose of the paper were clarified.

2. The following changes were made in the Introduction:

   - Grammar was fixed.
   - Figure 1(a) and 1(b) were replaced with clearer pictures.

3. The following changes were made in section 2.

   - Figure 2 was replaced with a more clearer picture.
   - Figure 3 and Figure 4 were edited by removing the border colours.

4. The grammar in the conclusion was fixed.

5. Other changes included resizing images and plots and fixing grammar.

6. Changes on references. url added on reference 4.