SAIP2018 ID 98 corrections

Authors:

- The superscript 4 at T E Mosuang[1,4] has been changed to *

Abstract:

- Grammar has been corrected:
- ... nanoparticles shows great sensitivity is now … nanoparticles show great sensitivity…

1. Introduction

1.1 Extra literature has now been sighted in the introduction.

1.2 The whole introduction has also been re-worked.

1.3 2nd paragraph, 9th line: "CH_4 is also useful…." is now "CH_4 is also a useful…"

2. Procedure

2.1 4th line:

The statement: "In the process, all samples which were in powder form; were sonicated … was punctuated to read "In the process, all the samples which were in powder form were sonicated …

2.2 Reference [12] has being removed.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 1st paragraph, last sentence:

The sentence "This in turn also suggest that the nanoparticles components for gas sensors studied in this paper are good in this temperature range. " and replaced by "This further suggest that the nanoparticles reported in this paper could only sense methane gas at 200 °C."

3.2 2nd paragraph, 11th line:

This statement " Motaung et al. [15] also observed similar results for ZnO nanostructures which were exposed to CH_4 concentrations at 300 °C for 24 hours." Has been revised to read " Motaung et al. [15] also observed similar results for ZnO nanostructures which were exposed to CH_4 gas of different concentrations at 300 °C for 24 hours."

3.3 2nd paragraph, last statement:

The following sentence was removed from the discussion, "The report by Manamela et al. [13], shows that In-ZnO nanoparticles has a constant response and recovery time to NH3 gas."

4. Summary

4.1 “The current versus time curves show good response and recovery at 200 °C.” was revised to “The current versus time curves show good response and recovery for undoped and double doped samples at 200 °C.”

5. References

5.1 Due to re-working of the introduction and inclusion of new references, the references had to be re-arranged.

5.2 Consistent style of writing references has been dopted.