dSECS

Including Variometers in Geomagnetic Field Interpolation

M. J. Heyns 1,2 , S. I. Lotz 1 , C. T. Gaunt 2

South African Institute of Physics Conference University of the Free State 26 June 2018

¹South African National Space Agency, Space Science Directorate, Hermanus ²Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Cape Town





Interpolation

Variometers and Magnetometers





1 / 16

Heyns

(SANSA/UCT)

SECS

SECS - Spherical Elementary Current Systems

SECS is one of the most physically realistic magnetic field interpolation schemes - it tries to replicate both the internal (induction) and external (ionospheric and magnetospheric) currents in terms of equivalent currents.



SECS - Algorithm Flow

- 1 Set up grid of elementary current poles (say *n* poles). These poles are collected into a vector *I*.
- 2 Use measured magnetic field data (say m stations) to constrain elementary currents. Usually m < n. These stations are collected into a vector B.
- 3 Set up transfer function matrix T, such that $B = T \cdot I$. The dimensions of T is $m \times n$
- 4 Calculate components of *I* by using SVD to get T^{-1} , i.e. $I = T^{-1} \cdot B$.
- 5 Use the elementary current grid to interpolate magnetic field B' to any other point of of interest, i.e. $B' = T' \cdot I$.



The internal component of the measured geomagnetic field needs to be subtracted!



3 / 16

SECS

SECS - Planar Approximation

Given a grid with n current elements and assuming m magnetometers, the following matrix equation can be set up for the calculation,

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_{x,y:1} \\ \vdots \\ B_{x,y:m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{x,y:11} & \cdots & T_{x,y:1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ T_{x,y:m1} & \cdots & T_{x,y:mn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_1 \\ \vdots \\ I_n \end{bmatrix}$$
$$T_{x,y:ij} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi r} \left(1 - \frac{h}{\sqrt{r_{ij}^2 + h^2}}\right).$$

where,

There are a number of factors affecting this planar approximation including an small angle assumption and the resolution of stations.



4 / 16

SAIP 2018

dSECS

dSECS - Interpolating dB

Although variometers are not absolute, they can very accurately measure the change in the magnetic field, i.e. $\Delta B = B(t_i) - B(t_{i-1})$.

Since the SECS method is entirely linear, ΔB can be used in the same way as B (T is purely a spatial constant). The only difference is that I becomes ΔI in this scheme.

$$B(t_i) - B(t_{i-1}) = T \cdot I(t_i) - T \cdot I(t_{i-1})$$
$$= T \cdot (I(t_i) - I(t_{i-1}))$$
$$\Delta B = T \cdot \Delta I$$

With more variometers than absolute magnetometers, the confidence in ΔB interpolation is much higher than that for B. ΔB is also what is typically used for GIC studies.



5 / 16

SAIP 2018

dSECS

Merging SECS and dSECS



6 / 16

dSECS

Merging SECS and dSECS

We can now use the greater amount of information about ΔB to improve the interpolation of B. Given a set of perturbations ϵ , the two results can be equated,

$$\Delta B_1 = (B_2 + \epsilon_2) - (B_1 + \epsilon_1)$$

...
$$\Delta B_N = (B_{N+1} + \epsilon_{N+1}) - (B_N + \epsilon_N).$$

This can then be rewritten in a matrix equation of the form $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$,



Results

KMH B_x



8 / 16

Results

9 / 16

KMH B_y



Results

KMH B_{tot}



10 / 16

Results

KMH B_x - All Storms



11 / 16

Results

KMH B_y - All Storms



Results

KMH B_{tot} - All Storms



RICAN NATION

Take Home Points...

- 1. Integrating variometers into magnetometer networks improve geomagnetic interpolation, especially during geomagnetic storms.
 - This improvement comes at a much reduced cost!
- 2. Variometers can be used alone in geoelectric field studies.
- 3. Systematic error is reduced, particularly in B_y component (which relates to induction effects).

'The more the merrier.'

dSECS



Heyns

(SANSA/UCT)



14 / 16

SAIP 2018

Bibliography

1 O. Amm and A. Viljanen

lonospheric disturbance magnetic field continuation from the ground to the ionosphere using spherical elementary current systems.

Earth, Planets and Space, 51(6):431-440, 1999.

2 [2] A. Pulkkinen, O. Amm, A. Viljanen and BEAR Working Group

Separation of the geomagnetic variation field on the ground into external and internal parts using the spherical elementary current system method.

Earth, Planets and Space, 55(3):117-129, 2003.

[3] A. Pulkkinen, O. Amm, A. Viljanen and BEAR Working Group

lonospheric equivalent current distributions determined with the method of spherical elementary current systems. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 108(A2):1–9, 2003.

[4] A. Viljanen, A. Pulkkinen, O. Amm, R. Pirjola, T. Korja and BEAR Working Group

Fast computation of the geoelectric field using the method of elementary current systems and planar Earth models. Annales Geophysicae, 22(1):101–113, 2004.

[5] S. A. McLay and C. D. Beggan

Interpolation of externally-caused magnetic fields over large sparse arrays using Spherical Elementary Current Systems. Annales Geophysicae, 28(9):1795–1805, 2010.

6] S. Marsal, J. M. Torta, A. Segarra and T. Araki

Use of spherical elementary currents to map the polar current systems associated with the geomagnetic sudden commencements on 2013 and 2015 St. Patrick's Day storms. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 122(1):194–211, 2017.





15 / 16

SAIP 2018

Questions (Suggestions)?





Heyns (SANSA/UCT)



SAIP 2018

16 / 16

2015-06-22 04:25:00







From Helmholtz's theorem, any current flowing on a surface can be broken into curl-free (allows current flow in and out of sheet) and divergence-free (allows current flow on sheet) parts. The divergence-free part is what is typically measured by ground based magnetometers.

In Earth-centred spherical coordinates (r, θ, ϕ) , the divergence-free current at a point \vec{r} on the surface R_{surf} , θ away from a pole at \vec{r}' is,

$$ec{J}_{df,i}(ec{r}) = rac{I_i}{4\pi R_{surf}}\cot\left(rac{ heta}{2}
ight)ec{e}_{\phi} = rac{\int\!\int_S ec{r}\cdotec{
abla} imesec{J}(ec{r}')dS}{4\pi R_{surf}}\cot\left(rac{ heta}{2}
ight)ec{e}_{\phi}.$$





Given a current element of amplitude of I at height h in cylindrical coordinates ($r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, \phi, z$), the surface current density would be,

$$\vec{J_{df}} = I/(2\pi r)\vec{e_{\phi}},$$

Assuming z is downwards and a harmonic time dependence (i.e. $e^{i\omega t}$), the electric field resulting from the element would be,

$$ec{E}=-rac{i\omega\mu_0I}{4\pi}rac{\sqrt{r^2+h^2}-h}{r}ec{e_{\phi}}.$$

The magnetic field would then be,

$$\vec{B} = \frac{\mu_0 I}{4\pi r} \left(\left(1 - \frac{h}{\sqrt{r^2 + h^2}} \right) \vec{e_r} + \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 + h^2}} \right) \vec{e_z} \right).$$





Relevant Stations and Interpolation Grid

- Latitude range: 34.5-18.5°S
- Longitude range: 16.5-28.0°E
- Grid dimensions: 13 x 18
- Grid span: 1 214km EW and 1 781km NS
- Grid spacing: 101km and 104km
- Internal field model: Enhanced Magnetic Model (EMM2017)







Analytical Pseudo Inverse

=

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$\xrightarrow{N}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{N}{N+1} & -\frac{N-1}{N+1} & -\frac{N-2}{N+1} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{N+1} \end{bmatrix}$$





Analytical Pseudo Inverse

$$AA^{-1} = \mathbb{1}(N \times N)$$



 \approx 1(N+1 × N+1) for N \gg 1











"Com



SOUTH AFRICAN NATIONAL























KMH B_x





T alena



"low



KMH B_x







T alena



KMH B_x







