Reconstruction of missing energy in events with two photons at the ATLAS detector in Large Hadron Collider
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Abstract. The missing transverse momentum in the ATLAS experiment is defined as the momentum imbalance in the plane transverse to the beam axis. That is the resultant of the negative sum of all the particles that are detected. A precise estimation of the missing transverse energy is essential for many physics studies at the LHC, such as Higgs boson estimated in the diphoton decay channel, as well as for searches of physics beyond the Standard Model. The reconstruction of missing energy is sensitive to the presence of additional collisions, usually referred to as pile-up. A new method is being proposed to reduce the effect of pileup events in ATLAS experiment. This article describes the performance of missing energy in respect to the improvement of vertex methods in ATLAS experiment.

1. Introduction

Missing transverse energy ($E_T^{miss}$) is very important to many physics analysis in the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). The missing transverse energy is used in the Higgs boson searches and search for physics Beyond the Standard Model (BSM) like the evidence of supersymmetry or hidden sector particles like the dark matter particles. Unlike most Standard Model particles the dark matter particles and neutrinos do not leave traces inside particle physics detectors, because of the non-interacting nature of these particles with detector material we can only infer their presence through missing transverse energy in the detector.

The $E_T^{miss}$ is transverse momentum imbalance created by the detector and well-measured objects in an event [1]. Previous studies have shown that the reconstruction and measurement of the $E_T^{miss}$ are greatly affected by pileup interaction from other proton-proton collision vertices in the same bunch crossing event [2], this in turns leads to what is known as fake $E_T^{miss}$. The same vertex method is introduced and its performance in suppressing fake $E_T^{miss}$ is studied. This method is used in searches in the decay channel of Higgs to two photons in association with $E_T^{miss}$ [3]. The corresponding phenomenology is described in Ref [4].

2. ATLAS Detector

The ATLAS detector is one of the particle detectors in the LHC, the detector is built around one of the interacting points along the LHC ring. It is a multipurpose system of particle detector used in measuring missing transverse energy, jet energy, hadrons taus, and muons. The detector
Table 1. Samples used for the background processes relevant in this analysis. The $V \gamma$ and $V \gamma \gamma$ are leptonic decay including $Z$ to neutrinos. using full simulation (Full Sim) and Fast simulation (AFII) procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Num. Events</th>
<th>Generation</th>
<th>Simulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ggF, $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ 125 GeV</td>
<td>95000</td>
<td>PowhegPythia8EvtGen AZNLOCTEQ6L1</td>
<td>Full Sim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$zh, h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ 125 GeV</td>
<td>49800</td>
<td>Pythia8EvtGen A14NNPDF23LO</td>
<td>Full Sim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V \gamma (V = Z, W^{\pm})$</td>
<td>491400</td>
<td>Sherpa CT10</td>
<td>Full Sim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V \gamma (V = Z, W^{\pm})$</td>
<td>6982000</td>
<td>Sherpa CT10</td>
<td>Full Sim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V \gamma \gamma (V = Z, W^{\pm})$</td>
<td>29800</td>
<td>Sherpa CT10</td>
<td>Full Sim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V \gamma \gamma (V = Z, W^{\pm})$</td>
<td>38000</td>
<td>Sherpa CT10</td>
<td>Full Sim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$mH_{275mx_{260}}$</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>PowhegPythia8EvtGen AZNLOCTEQ6L1</td>
<td>Full Sim</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

is composed of three main systems namely the inner detector (ID), the hadronic calorimeter and the muon spectrometer, the three systems are arranged in a barrel-plus-endcaps [5].

The inner detector covers the pseudorapidity range of $\eta < 2.5$, it has three layers (silicon pixel detector, silicon microstrip detector, and transition radiation tracker). The ID is used for precise vertex measurement, extra tracking of particles and particle identification. There are two types calorimeters in the ATLAS detector, the liquid argon (LAr) sampling electromagnetic calorimeter which is situated outside next to the hadronic calorimeter (TileCal), it covers region of $|\eta| < 3.2$ and the steel scintillator tile calorimeter (TileCal) covering the range of $|\eta| < 1.7$. The muon spectrometer (MS) is the outer most part of the ATLAS detector, the MS is used in the reconstruction of the muon. Each subsystem of the ATLAS detector has different functionality, they are combined to in measuring physics processes.

3. Monte Carlo samples used

The samples used for performance checks are listed in table 1. These samples are classified into two categories, based on whether the samples physics processes will lead to real or fake $E_T^{miss}$. The gluon-gluon fusion (ggF) and $\gamma \gamma$ are Higgs signal and background samples respectively, these samples have no process that leads to $E_T^{miss}$, hence $E_T^{miss}$ estimated in this samples are regarded as fake $E_T^{miss}$. The other samples in the list are: $Zh, V \gamma, V \gamma \gamma$ and $mH_{275mx_{260}}$ (BSM sample with decay dark matter particle) are particles with real $E_T^{miss}$, the $E_T^{miss}$ is as a result of either dark matter particle or decay to neutrinos.

4. Reconstruction of $E_T^{miss}$

$E_T^{miss}$ is an imbalance in the sum of energy of all particles in the transvers plane of the detector, it is reconstructed using the energy deposited in the calorimeter and muon spectrometer. The $E_T^{miss}$ components are calculated by:

$$E_T^{miss} = E_T^{miss,calo} + E_T^{miss,\mu}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The calorimeter term in Equation 1 is calculated based on reconstructed physics objects (electrons, photons, taus, jets and muons) associated with cells, cells which are not associated with physics objects are tagged $E_T^{miss,CellOut}$. The $E_T^{miss,calo}$ term is given by:

$$E_T^{miss,calo} = E_T^{miss,calo,e} + E_T^{miss,calo,\gamma} + E_T^{miss,calo,\tau} + E_T^{miss,calo,jets} + E_T^{miss,calo,\mu} + E_T^{miss,CellOut}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

each term in Equation 2 is calculated from the negative sum of the calibrated cell energies corresponding to objects as follows:
The muon term in Equation 1 is calculated from the momenta of muon reconstructed with $|\eta| < 2.7$ as follows:

$$E_{\text{miss,}\mu,x} = - \sum_{\text{muons}} P_{\mu,x}$$

$$E_{\text{miss,}\mu,y} = - \sum_{\text{muons}} P_{\mu,y}$$

$E_{T}\text{miss}$ and its angle $\phi_{\text{miss}}$ are calculated by:

$$E_{T}\text{miss} = \sqrt{(E_{\text{miss},x})^2 + (E_{\text{miss},y})^2}, \quad \phi_{\text{miss}} = \arctan(E_{\text{miss},y}, E_{\text{miss},x})$$

5. Vertex of interaction in ATLAS detector

Figures 1 and 2 show some typical vertices during proton-proton collision in the ATLAS detector, only one of these vertices is the vertex of interaction for physics process (primary vertex). Most analysis in ATLAS used the method called the hardest scatter (HS) vertex method in reconstructing or identifying the primary vertex, the hardest vertex method identifies the primary vertex as the vertex with the highest scalar sum square of transvers momentum out of all vertex ($\sum (p_{\text{track}}^T)^2$).

This method is not effective for the analysis with photons like the $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + E_{T}\text{miss}$, hence another method called photon pointing method was developed [2]. Since photons tracks are seen in the calorimeter (except for converted photons), this method employed a neural network algorithm (K-nearest neighbor algorithm) in pointing two photons tracks from the calorimeter to the inner detector, so the point of interception is identified as the primary vertex.

6. Pile-up in ATLAS detector and pile-up suppression methods

Pile-up vertices are the other vertices due to additional collisions accompanying the HS collision. The jet term of the $E_{T}\text{miss}$ algorithm uses the jet vertex tagger (JVT) index to suppress pile-up [2]. A cut is placed on jet $p_T > 60$ GeV, $|\eta| > 2.4$ and $|JVT| > 0.59$.

From Fig. 3 we see the JVF cut is not 100% efficient in the suppression of pileup, about 40% of events cannot be distinguished as either from PU or HS collision. This introduce fake missing $E_{T}\text{miss}$. in addition to this Fig. 4 illustrates the scenario which can lead to fake $E_{T}\text{miss}$, when the JVT cut rejects a jet object in an event due to cut efficiency, the $E_{T}\text{miss}$ algorithm sometimes identified this rejected object as missing energy. This is the motivation behind the development of the same vertex method.
7. Same vertex method

The same vertex method tries to reduce or distinguish between background and signal events by comparing the consistency between the two vertex reconstruction methods, the same vertex method places an additional requirement on event selection, the vertex selected by the hardest scattered vertex (HS) must be same as the one selected by the photon pointing (PP) vertex selection method. This is implemented by requiring the $\sum (p_T^{\text{track}})^2(\text{Physics}) - \sum (p_T^{\text{track}})^2(\text{Pileup})$ to be larger than 0. The same vertex method is used in addition to the selection using $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ and $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ significance (defined as $E_T^{\text{miss}} / E_T^{\text{miss resolution}}$).

Figure 5 shows the distribution of $\sum (p_T^{\text{track}})^2(\text{Physics}) - \sum (p_T^{\text{track}})^2(\text{Pileup})$ for different samples, the region [-5000,0] is region of fake $E_T^{\text{miss}}$, the samples with fake $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ have a higher number of events in this region as compared with the samples with real $E_T^{\text{miss}}$. An opposite observation is seen in the other region of the distribution [0, 5000] as the samples with real $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ have a higher number of events. This trend shows that $\sum (p_T^{\text{track}})^2(\text{Physics}) - \sum (p_T^{\text{track}})^2(\text{Pileup})$ is effective in identifying an isolated event with fake $E_T^{\text{miss}}$.

Figure 6 shows the fraction of events that pass $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ significance cut against the inclusive selection in $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ significance bins. Again we see the same vertex method is able to separate...
samples with fake $E_T^{miss}$ and real $E_T^{miss}$, a veto on $E_T^{miss}$ significance greater 3.0 will significantly reduce background events.

Figure 7. Events in same vertex and different vertex for different sample

7.1. Performance of same vertex method

Figure 7 shows the performance of selecting events with the same vertex method as compared with selecting events without the same vertex method (different vertex) for ggF, $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma +3$jets, $V\gamma$ and $V\gamma\gamma$. ggF and $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma +3$jets are samples with fake $E_T^{miss}$ and they have comparable event distributions in the same vertex and different vertex phase space, this is because of the contribution from fake $E_T^{miss}$ arising from the JVF cut and Pile-up contribution. This implies events with fake $E_T^{miss}$ can be rejected without losing much efficiency in the analysis. On the other hand, events with different vertex selection are much lower than the events with same vertex selection for $V\gamma$ and $V\gamma\gamma$ (samples with real $E_T^{miss}$) as expected.

Figures 8 and 9 show the mass spectrum of Higgs decay to two photons in the Higgs signal side-band (background). Figures 8 is the side band without any requirement on same vertex method and Figures 9 is the side-band with a requirement on same vertex method after a $E_T^{miss}$ significance $> 5.5$. A significant reduction (about 60%) in signal side-band events is seen by comparing the two distributions.
8. Conclusions

This paper has presented a new method of suppressing background events in the search for dark matter particles in ATLAS detector. The missing transverse energy is important to the search for new physics and $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + E_T^{\text{miss}}$ process in particular. However, the reconstruction missing transverse is sensitive to pile-up event from jets, miss-identification of photons or jets events which may lead to fake $E_T^{\text{miss}}$. To mitigate the effect of this error a study on the vertex selection was done and it was observed that background events and fake $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ events can be significantly reduced by requiring same vertex from the Photon pointing and Hardest scattered method of vertex reconstruction. From Figures 8 and 9 about 60% of background events were reduced by using the same vertex method, this method has been validated by the ATLAS performance group. The same vertex method has been used in the search for dark matter in association with a Higgs boson decaying to two photons [3].
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