

Dear Editor of CCP2016,

Thank you for the messages concerning about our manuscript.

In reply to the message of “You did not submit a separate file in which you stipulate all the changes made to your revised manuscript. Without this response file, your revised manuscript will not be considered for publication”, we attached the list of changes in a pdf file. This was our simple mistake to upload. We are very sorry for that.

We all appreciate the all reviewers’ comments and suggestions, pointed out in the previous messages of our Reviewers. We actually made a lot of corrections. Although the major part of changes is the grammatical corrections, we have tried to eliminate the vague expressions, and to make all the meaning clear especially in Abstract and after Eq. (7) [L1-L6, and P4L1 in 3.List_of_Changes.pdf]. All changes in words, phrases and sentences are listed in the file.

In particular, in reply to the message from one of our Reviewers’, saying “My criticisms are follows: The second sentence in the abstract is vague and does not make sense. I suggest that the authors correct the grammar in many sentences throughout the paper to improve readability”, we have thoroughly checked and made corrections in our manuscript. We think that all the silly expressions are got rid of, and our manuscript is now improved.

We are looking forward to hearing from you.
Best regards,

Yasunari Zempo

Attachment:

- | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. To_editor.pdf | ... [This file] |
| 2. SPHr3.pdf | ... [Our manuscript] |
| 3. List_of_Changes.pdf | ... [List of changes] |